

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/724,639	SCHUBERT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. S. Nakarani	1773	

**All Participants:**

(1) D. S. Nakarani.

(2) Mr. Paul D. Strain, Attorney.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 March 2006

**Time:** 10:40 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 12 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Strain agreed to amend claims 1, 3, 12 and 18 to avoid rejection of claims under 35 USC 112. For the details of the agreement see Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

D. S. Nakarani 3/17/2006  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)